



# NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING-BASED PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS USING CELL-FREE FETAL DNA IN AMNIOTIC FLUID.

Emilia Mateu-Brull<sup>1</sup>, Nuria Balaguer<sup>1</sup>, María Gómez<sup>1</sup>, Miguel Milán<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Igenomix Spain Lab S.L.U.- Valencia- Spain, Prenatal Diagnostic Department

**CoGEN**

Preconception, Preimplantation and Prenatal Genetic Diagnosis (CoGEN)

## INTRODUCTION

Amniotic fluid supernatant (AFS) is a source of cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA) that is usually discarded (1). cffDNA in this type of samples has the advantages of being free from maternal and trophoblast derived DNA (2), the amount of cffDNA is about 100-200 times greater than in maternal blood plasma (1), the quality of the DNA may be better than those obtained from amniocytes without culture (2), and it is possible to obtain timely and informative results even for problematic grossly bloody and otherwise compromised AF samples or culture failures (3). Several studies showed good quality results by using cffDNA in Quantitative fluorescence Polymerase Chain Reaction (QF-PCR) and Chromosomal Microarrays (CMA) testing (2, 4), but scarce knowledge is available regarding analysis performed by Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) (5,6).

The aim of this study was to assess the concordance between the results regarding aneuploidy detection between the classic approach (analysis of DNA obtained from amniocytes (amniocytes-DNA)) and those obtained of the analysis of cffDNA in AFS by NGS.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

cffDNA was obtained from 1 ml of AFS from 30 high-risk pregnancies (assessed by non-invasive prenatal test based on the analysis of cffDNA in maternal blood (NIPT) or by first trimester combined screening). Samples of cffDNA in AFS were analysed with a low-pass whole genome sequencing platform, strictly following the NIPT procedure as described by the manufacturer (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Follow-up information regarding the invasive genetic testing performed in amniocytes by QF-PCR, Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), karyotype or CMA was recorded for all the 30 cases.

## RESULTS

Results for the prenatal diagnostic in amniocytes by means of QF-PCR, FISH, karyotype or CMA showed the presence of a trisomy 21 in 5 samples, a trisomy 18 in 4 samples and aneuploidies for the sex chromosomes in 4 samples (two with a trisomy XXY and two with trisomy XYY). The other 17 samples showed no anomaly detected. The concordance of these results with those obtained by NGS was of 96.7%. The only case with discordant results was a pregnancy classified as high-risk for trisomy 18 by NIPT, in which the analysis of cffDNA in amniotic fluid supernatant revealed a mosaic trisomy 18, with a mosaicism degree of approximately 20%. The analysis with QF-PCR of this sample didn't find any anomaly, probably due to limit of resolution of this technique. Although requested, no additional follow-up was obtained, neither regarding pregnancy outcome nor any other genetic testing (pre or postnatally). Detailed results for all the samples are shown in the following table.

Patient	Amniocytes-DNA analysis method	Results of amniocytes-DNA analysis	Results of AFS analysis	Patient	Amniocytes-DNA analysis Method	Results of amniocytes-DNA analysis	Results of AFS analysis
1	QF-PCR	NAD	NAD	16	QF-PCR	T18	T18
2	FISH	NAD	NAD	17	FISH	XXY	XXY
3	QF-PCR	NAD	NAD	18	FISH and karyotype	XYY	XYY
4	QF-PCR	NAD	18% Mosaic T18	19	QF-PCR	T21	T21
5	QF-PCR	T21	T21	20	QF-PCR	T18	T18
6	FISH	XYY	XYY	21	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
7	FISH	NAD	NAD	22	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
8	QF-PCR	T21	T21	23	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
9	FISH	XXY	XXY	24	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
10	Karyotype	NAD	NAD	25	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
11	FISH	NAD	NAD	26	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
12	Karyotype	T21	T21	27	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
13	Karyotype	T18	T18	28	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
14	Karyotype	T18	T18	29	Karyotype and CMA	NAD	NAD
15	QF-PCR	T21	T21	30	Karyotype	NAD	NAD

NAD: No Aneuploidy detected; T: Trisomy

## CONCLUSION

cffDNA in AFS for NGS testing is a good alternative to the commonly used amniocytes-DNA samples. One of the advantages is the fact that the turn around time for results is significantly faster the one required for a karyotype, and the information obtained is more comprehensive than the one obtained with the QF-PCR, in terms of aneuploidy calling. Furthermore, it could be a good back-up for the conventional techniques of analysis in cases with maternal cell contamination, low cellularity of an amniotic fluid and failure in the culture of amniocytes.

However, some limitations must be taken into account. This limitations are that, alike other molecular testing techniques, NGS fails in detecting polyploidy and balanced chromosomal rearrangements. Regarding Copy Number Variations analysis, new algorithms need to be improved, since currently it is not possible to detect them below a certain size.

## REFERENCES

1. Bianchi DW, LeShane ES, Cowan JM. Large amounts of cell-free fetal DNA are present in amniotic fluid. *Clin Chem*. 2001 Oct;47(10):1867-9.
2. Gruchy N, Decamp M, Richard N, Jeanne-Pasquier C, Benoist G, Mittre H, Leporrier N. Array CGH analysis in high-risk pregnancies: comparing DNA from cultured cells and cell-free fetal DNA. *Prenat Diagn*. 2012 Apr;32(4):383-8.
3. Madjunkova S, Tong Li C, Vlasschaert M, Adams M, Chitayat D, Maire G, Kolomietz E. QF-PCR rapid aneuploidy screen and aCGH analysis of cell free fetal (cff) DNA in supernatant of compromised amniotic fluids (AF). *Prenat Diagn*. 2014 Oct;34(10):970-6.
4. Miura S, Miura K, Masuzaki H, Miyake N, Yoshiura KI, Sosonkina N, Harada N, Shimokawa O, Nakayama D, Yoshimura S, Matsumoto N, Niikawa N, Ishimaru T. Microarray comparative genomic hybridization (CGH)-based prenatal diagnosis for chromosome abnormalities using cell-free fetal DNA in amniotic fluid. *J Hum Genet*. 2006;51(5):412-417. doi: 10.1007/s10038-006-0376-7. Epub 2006 Apr 19.
5. Qi Q, Lu S, Zhou X, Yao F, Hao N, Yin G, Li W, Bai J, Li N, Cram DS. Copy number variation sequencing-based prenatal diagnosis using cell-free fetal DNA in amniotic fluid. *Prenat Diagn*. 2016 Jun;36(6):576-83.
6. Mao Q, Chin R, Xie W, Deng Y, Zhang W, Xu H, Zhang RY, Shi Q, Peters EE, Gulbahce N, Li Z, Chen F, Drmanac R, Peters BA. Advanced Whole-Genome Sequencing and Analysis of Fetal Genomes from Amniotic Fluid. *Clin Chem*. 2018 Apr;64(4):715-725.

## CONTACT

Emilia Mateu-Brull, IGENOMIX, Paterna, Valencia, Spain. E-mail: emilia.mateu@igenomix.com